Oracle Database on AWS

Getting the Best out of RDS and EC2

MARIS ELSINS Lead Database Consultant Pythian





Maris Elsins

Lead Database Consultant At Pythian since 2011 Located in Riga, Latvia Oracle [Apps] DBA since 2005 Speaker at conferences since 2007

✓@MarisDBA

elsins@pythian.com

ABOUT PYTHIAN

Pythian's 400+ IT professionals help companies adopt and manage disruptive technologies to better compete





EXPERIENCED

11,800

Systems currently managed by Pythian



GLOBAL

400

Pythian experts in 35 countries



EXPERTS

Millennia of experience gathered and shared over 19 years



Oracle Database on AWS

Getting the **BEST** out of RDS and EC2

It's a nice title, but.... WTH* did you mean here?

* WTH = WTF

What do you expect?

We Do Three Types of Jobs Here... GOOD, FAST AND CHEAP You May Choose Any Two!

If It Is Good and Cheap It Will Not Be Fast.

If It Is Good and Fast It Will Not Be Cheap.

If It Is Fast and Cheap It Will Not Be Good.





WHY THIS PRESENTATION?

- 2 ways to run Oracle Databases on AWS
 - RDS
 - EC2
- Help Choosing between Them

NOT ON AGENDA

- Basics of AWS
- Why cloud and why AWS?

I mean it! It's not included!

• The right way of ...

DEFINITIONS FROM AWS DOCUMENTATION

- EC2 (laaS)
 - Amazon Elastic Compute Cloud (Amazon EC2) is a web service that provides secure, resizable compute capacity in the cloud. It is designed to make web-scale cloud computing easier for developers.
 - you!

• RDS (PaaS / DBaaS)

... it will just be easier :)

 Amazon Relational Database Service (Amazon 2000) is a web service that makes it easier to set up, operate, and scale a relational database in the cloud. It provides cost-efficient, resizable capacity for an industry-standard relational database and manages common database administration tasks.

WHEN TO CHOOSE EC2 AND RDS TO RUN ORACLE DB?



For me as a DBA the biggest challenge with RDS is: No host access and no SYSDBA access.

WHEN TO CHOOSE RDS OVER EC2 TO RUN ORACLE DB?

- RDS is managed by AWS
 - It removes lots of headache
 - Automates routine tasks
 - Backup/Recovery
 - Multi-AZ (Failover, Switchover, DR*)
 - Patching and Upgrades
 - Host Maintenance
 - CloudWatch Monitoring and Alerting
 - But be prepared for
 - limitations
 - specific way of operation

The process is very well tested and is reliable. It's done thousands of times

SERVERS / VIRTUALIZATION

- EC2 gives you more instance types to choose from!
- Having more options is sometimes important:
 - no RAC on AWS so vertical scaling options are important
 - (3rd party software exists to allow this)
 - Choose a better balance/cost between:
 - CPU capacity
 - Memory
 - Instance Store SSD*

SERVERS / VIRTUALIZATION

WARNING: **Obsolete slide**

Store

- Current generation instances for EC2 (74):
 - X1e Memory Optimized t2.nano, t2.micro, t2.small, t2.medium, t2.large, t2.xlarge, t2 m4.2xlarge, 128 vCPU / 4T RAM m4.4xlarge, m4.10xlarge, m4.16xlarge, m3.medium, m3.lar large, c5.xlarge, 4.2xlarge, c4.4xlarge, c4.8xlarge, c5.2xlarge, c5.4xlarge, c5.9xlarge, c5.18xlarge, c4.large, c4.xla c3.large, c3.xlarge, c3.2xlarge, c3.4xlarge, c3.8xlarge, f1.2xl 1.16xlarge, g3.4xlarge, g3.8xlarge, g3.16xlarge, g2.2xlarge, g2.8xlarge, p2.xlarge, p2.8xlarge, / .16xlarge, p3.2xlarge, p3.8xlarge, p3.16xlarge, r4.large, r4.xlarge, r4.2xlarge, r4.4xlarge, r4/xlarge, r4.16xlarge, r3.large, r3.xlarge, r3.2xlarge, r3.4xlarge, r3.8xlarge, x1.16xlarge, x1e.32xlarge, x1.32xlarge, d2.xlarge, d2.2xlarge, d2.4xlarge, d2.8xlarge, i2.xlarge, i2.2xlarge, i2.4xlarge, i2.8xlarge, i3.large, i3.xlarge, i3.2xlarge, i3.4xlarge, i3.8xlarge, i3.16xlarge I3 instances = High I/O instances with NVMe Instance
- Current generation instances for RDS (23):
 - db.m4.10xlarge, db.m4.16xlarge, db.m4.2xlarge, db.m4.4xlarge, db.m4.large, db.m4.xlarge, db.r3.2xlarge, db.r3.4xlarge, db.r3.8xlarge, db.r3.large, db.r3.xlarge, db.r4.16xlarge, db.r4.2xlarge, db.r4.4xlarge, db.r4.8xlarge, db.r4.large, db.r4.xlarge, db.t2.2xlarge, db.t2.arge, db.t2.medium, db.t2.micro, db.t2.small, db.t2.xlarge



OS

- The OS on the EC2 is:
 - My-kind-of-linux (OL / RHEL /)
 - This is really important in some situations!
 - Access to the OS is useful
 - install the tools you need (Oswatcher/TFA)
 - Obtain diagnostic info (i.e. Incident trace file from ADR)
- The OS on the RDS is:
 - Some-kind-of-linux

SQL> select dbms_utility.port_string from dual;

PORT_STRING	but what kind of linux?

x86_64/Linux 2.4.xx

Doesn't really matter - you don't have access to the OS

STORAGE

- EBS volumes used in both cases:
 - SSD:
 - Provisioned IOPS SSD (the expensive SSD)
 - General Purpose SSD (the cheap SSD)
 - HDD (unsuitable in most cases)
 - RDS: Magnetic (not recommended by AWS)
 - EC2: Throughput Optimized HDD
 - EC2: Cold HDD
- RDS vs EC2
 - EC2 you set it up how you want it.
 - i.e. ASM with multiple EBS volumes..
 - RDS sets up the specified type/size storage for you

It appears to be a single EBS volume behind it.

(might change over time, or depending on size of the instance)

DATABASE

- EC2 You install what you need and how you like it
- RDS Predefined engine versions
 - 11gR2:
 - 11.2.0.4.v1 11.2.0.4.v17 ...
 - 12cR1:
 - 12.1.0.1.v1 12.1.0.1.v6 (discontinued)
 - 12.1.0.2.v1 12.1.0.2.v13 ...
 - 12cR2: not available yet on RDS (GA March 2017 on prem)
 - Engine versions differ by included patches
 - PSU / DST / GG / + critical one-offs
 - Old engines become unavailable

What if I need a specific one-off?

OPTIONS AND FEATURES

- Features not available on RDS (12c)
 - ASM
 - Database Vault
 - Java Support
 - Multitenant Database
 - Real Application Clusters (RAC)
 - Unified Auditing
 - Data Guard / Active Data Guard

RAC is not supported on EC2 too

Hey, but what about the Disaster Recovery?

Global IIII astructure

DATA CENTERS REGIONS AND AVAILABILITY ZONES

DR BETWEEN REGIONS

- EC2
 - You're responsible for the DR...
 - Use Data Guard if you like
 - Logical replication...
- RDS
 - Multi-AZ
 - How to failover from one region to another?
 - Copy snapshots? What about archived logs?
 - Logical replication (DMS or GoldenGate)?

February 28, 2017 Complete S3 outage in US-EAST-1 Region The Whole Region was affected

Multi-AZ is a synchronous physical replication between 2 AZs in the same region.

Didn't we just loose all the simplicity?

RUNNING AND MONITORING RDS... LIFE WITHOUT "SYSDBA"

- Only SQL*Net Connectivity
- Master User
 - Limited set of privileges
- <u>Common DBA Tasks for Oracle DB Instances</u>
 - Review them carefully, and prepare for action!
 - Some tasks are done differently ...
 - Killing a session
 - exec RDSADMIN.RDSADMIN_UTIL.KILL(..., ..., ...);
 - Creating an AWR report (with no access to the OH)
 - DBMS_WORKLOAD_REPOSITORY.AWR_REPORT_TEXT
 - rdsadmin.rdsadmin_util

http://docs.aws.amazon.com/AmazonRDS/latest/UserGuide/Appendix.Oracle.CommonDBATasks.html

RUNNING AND MONITORING RDS... LIFE WITHOUT HOST ACCESS

- No host access
 - Prevents setting up some types of monitoring
 - Cron jobs (everyone's favorite!)
 - Certain 3rd party monitoring agents
- What's available?
 - Cloudwatch monitoring + alerts
 - 1 minute interval / difficult to correlate different metrics
 - Enhanced monitoring (no alerts)
 - 1s 60s monitoring interval
 - OEM Option (11g DB Control / Database Expressize)
 - OEM_AGENT Option !! (Announced Sep, 2010), supports 13c too!
 - "Performance Insights" for Oracle RDS
 - Custom monitoring over SQL*Net (Pythian's Avail)

Not GA yet, but you already can sign up for the preview

Best way of learning is learning from someone else's mistakes!

CASE 1

OPTION GROUPS AND PARAMETER GROUPS

- Option group
 - Defines the set of enabled options
 - Epex, OEM, STATSPACK, ...
 - can be assigned to multiple RDS instances
- Default options groups:
 - "default:oracle-ee-11-2" and "default:oracle-ee-12-1"
 - has no options enabled by default
 - Can't be modified

CASE 1 PARAMETER GROUPS

- Remember,
 - you can't "/ as sysdba" and "alter system ..."
- Parameter Groups
 - Defines the init parameters
 - Can be assigned to multiple instances
 - Some parameters are derived from instance settings
 - i.e. DB_NAME={DbName}
- Default parameter groups can't be changed
 - Even modifiable settings require reboot.
 - We used a workaround in few cases a logon trigger

CASE 1 ORA-01555

- UNDO_RETENTION not set (the default is 900 seconds)
- We're using parameter group "default.oracle-ee-11.2"
- The client asked to refresh one schema in TEST (from PROD)

How to fix it?

- "expdp ... consistent=y"

ORA-31693: Table data object "XYZ"."BLAH_BLAH" failed to load/unload and is being skipped due to error: ORA-02354: error in exporting/importing data ORA-01555: snapshot too old: rollback segment number 82 with name "_SYSSMU82_540458409\$" too small







- AMM means hugepages are not used ``
- Swapping is possible





CASE 3 LATENCY ISSUE (NOT AWS'S FAULT)

- In the middle of the migration project
 - One database was moved to Oracle RDS
 - Another interfacing system remained on-prem
- A batch job (ETL) runs a LOT SLOWER
 - Tracing reveals row-by-row processing between 2 DBs
 - A local DB <-> AWS RDS
 - Latency issue, each network round-trip took a lot longer.

CASE 4 IO BOTTLENECK

- A tiny OBIEE Database on RDS (40G)
 - Db.m4.xlarge
 - 4 vCPU + 16G RAM
- The problem
 - IO slowness
 - Beyond point where IOPS is reasonable to increase to
- The Solution
 - Upgrade to Db.r3.xlarge (memory optimized)
 - 4 vCPU + 30.5 RAM
 - Set parameter _serial_direct_reads=never

CASE 5 PLAN (IN)STABILITY

• A sudden execution plan change for a popular query



CASE 5 PLAN (IN)STABILITY

- Saturated CPU is difficult to troubleshoot
 - Extremely slow response times
 - Resource Manager can help!
 - Reboot the instance?
- "Plan stability" measures
 - Careful with histograms!
 - SQL Plan Baselines
- Our fix:
 - 1) Resource Manager
 - 2) Customized capture of execution plans in SQL Tuning Sets
 - 3) one-off SQL Plan Baselines are created when needed

CASE 6 COMBINED MAINTENANCE

- Combined maintenance 30 minutes allocated
 - Take a snapshot
 - Change the instance size
- Oops!
 - Snapshot takes just few seconds
 - ... before it's copied to S3
 - "Creating Snapshot" for 45 minutes

Is RDS good for anything?

IS RDS GOOD FOR ANYTHING? RDS IS VERY GOOD IF...

- Your want your DB "managed by AWS"
- Your requirements match the RDS' capabilities
- You're aware of the trade-offs and limitations
- You have access to the "Know-how"!
- You test the planned activities



I/O

... how it really behaves.

SIZING THE INSTANCE CORRECTLY IT'S NOT VERY SIMPLE...

- Instance size (CPU / RAM) is Important:
 Size it too big cost-efficiency reduces •

 - Size it too small performance suffers
 - Relatively simple to size
- **Storage** size (GB / IOPS) is Important:
 - Size it too big cost-efficiency reduces
 - Size it too small performance suffers
 - Extremely difficult to plan and the potential impact is huge
 - Imagine a large table scan



I did some benchmarking to find out more

IO EBS VOLUME TYPES

PIOPS = Provisioned IO per Second

<u>Magnetic</u> (Previous gen / obsolete)	<u>General Purpose SSD</u>	Provisioned IOPS
Spinning disks	SSD	• SSD
 Pay per Use + Size <200 IOPS 40–90 MiB/s 1MiB IO size . 	Cheap & Low Latency 3 IOPS * 1GiB <= 10000 Pay per Size Up to 160 MiB/s Burstable (3000 IOPS)	 Expensive & Low Latency 10 IOPS * 1GiB <=20000 Pay per Size + PIOPS Up to 500 MiB/s

18 Pythian

IO COST STORAGE - WHAT ABOUT COST OF 120G AND 1200 IOPS?

	Services Estimate of your Monthly Bill (\$ 148.56)
	Choose region: Europe (Ireland)
	Amazon RDS is a web service that makes it easier to set up, operate, and scale a relational database in the cloud. Cost calculation for Amazon Aurora is
• P-10P3	Amazon RDS On-Demand DB Instances:
	Description DB Instances Usage DB Engine and License Class and Deployment Storage I/O
	PIOPS 1 100 % Utilized/N I Oracle (BYOL: EE, S
	Services Estimate of your Monthly Bill (\$ 48.26)
• GP-SSD	Choose region: Europe (Ireland)
	Amazon RDS is a web service that makes it easier to set up, operate, and scale a relational database in the cloud. Cost calculation for Amazon Aurora is
	Amazon RDS On-Demand DB Instances: Burstable!
	Description DB Instances Usage DB Engine and License Class and Deployment Storage I/O
iviy favourite :)	GPSSD 1 100 % Utilized/N Oracle (BYOL: EE, S Odb.t1.micro OF Previsioned IOPS: 0 Standard (Single-A G 400 GB
Magnetic	Services Estimate of your Monthly Bill (\$ 11.00)
	Choose region: Europe (Ireland)
	Amazon RDS is a web service that makes it easier to set up, operate, and service relational database in the cloud. Cost calculation for Amazon Aurora is
No IOPS	Amazon RDS On-Demand DB Instances:
guarantee /	Description DB Instances Usage DB Engine and License Class and Schowment Storage I/O
obsoloto	Magnetic 1 100 % Utilized/N C Oracie (BYOL: EE, S C db.t1.micro C Magnetic G new conditioned IOPS: 0
https://calculator.s3.amazonaws.co	© 2018 Pythian 39

IO LOTS OF LIMITS

- Different throughput/IO limits apply depending on
 - Instance Type
 - Storage Type
 - Storage Size
 - PIOPS
- Limits like:
 - EBS Throughput (Mbps) per Instance
 - EBS Throughput (Mbps) per EBS Volume
 - Max IOPS per Instance
 - Max IOPS per Volume
 - P-IOPS you reserved and paid for
 - IOPS differ depending on the size of the IO



I guess I'll have to do some

real benchmarking !

IO STORAGE TYPES - THE DOCUMENTATION SAYS

While Provisioned IOPS (**io1** storage) **can work with I/O sizes up to 256 KB**, most databases do not typically use such large I/O. **An I/O request smaller than 32 KB is handled as one I/O**; for example, 1000 16 KB I/O requests are treated the same as 1000 32 KB requests. **I/O requests larger than 32 KB consume more than one I/O request**; Provisioned IOPS consumption is a linear function of I/O request size above 32 KB. For example, a 48 KB I/O request consumes 1.5 I/O requests of storage capacity; a 64 KB I/O request consumes 2 I/O requests, etc. ... Note that I/O size does not affect the IOPS values reported by the metrics, which are based solely on the number of I/Os over time. This means that it is possible to consume all of the IOPS provisioned with fewer I/Os than specified if the I/O sizes are larger than 32 KB. For example, a system provisioned for 5,000 IOPS can attain a maximum of 2,500 IOPS with 64 KB I/O or 1,250 IOPS with 128 KB IO.

... and ...

I/O requests larger than 32 KB are treated as more than one I/O for the purposes of PIOPS capacity consumption. A 40 KB I/O request will consume 1.25 I/Os, a 48 KB request will consume 1.5 I/Os, a 64 KB request will consume 2 I/Os, and so on. **The I/O request is not split into separate I/Os; all I/O requests are presented to the storage device unchanged.** For example, if the database submits a 128 KB I/O request, it goes to the storage device as a single 128 KB I/O request, but it will consume the same amount of PIOPS capacity as four 32 KB I/O requests.

IO BENCHMARKING INTERESTING FINDINGS

- Documentation is not telling everything
 - Who from you have made career by writing documentation?
 Bryn Llewellyn
- 2 Blog posts (<u>http://blog.pythian.com</u>)
 - Investigating IO Performance on Amazon RDS for Oracle
 - Investigating IO Performance on Amazon EC2

IO BENCHMARKING THE TESTS

- Crated a RDS / EC2 instance with a DB on it
- Created a large table T
- Run script:

```
exec rdsadmin.rdsadmin_util.flush_buffer_cache;
alter system flush fuffer_cache;
alter session set "_serial_direct_read"=always;
alter session set db_file_multiblock_read_count=&1;
-- Run FTS against T table forever.
declare
    n number:=1;
begin
    while n>0
    loop
       select /*+ full(t) */ count(*) into n from t;
    end loop;
end;
```

Measure IOPS / Read Throughput from CloudWatch or iostat.

IO BENCHMARKING RDS GP AND P-IOPS THROUGHPUT COMPARISON

• Db.m4.4xlarge / 100G io1 1000 IOPS vs. 100G gp2 300 IOPS

GP and P-IOPS SSD 100G Throughput for different IO sizes 242509 241925 242867 245096 245062 245110 241000 16209 16380 2418200 4761 4780 GP SSD Throughput PIOPS SSD Throughput Instance Throughput Limit GP SSD Limit PIOPS SSD Limit

IO BENCHMARKING EC2 GP AND P-IOPS THROUGHPUT COMPARISON

• Db.m4.4xlarge / 100G io1 1000 IOPS vs. 100G gp2 300 IOPS



IO BENCHMARKING RDS GP AND P-IOPS IO/S COMPARISON

• Db.m4.4xlarge / 100G io1 1000 IOPS vs. 100G gp2 300 IOPS

GP and P-IOPS SSD 100G IO/s for different IO sizes



IO BENCHMARKING EC2 GP AND P-IOPS IO/S COMPARISON

• Db.m4.4xlarge / 100G io1 1000 IOPS vs. 100G gp2 300 IOPS

GP and P-IOPS SSD 100G IO/s for different IO sizes



IO BENCHMARKING CONCLUSIONS

- SSD EBS volumes dynamically choose the "accounted" IO size based on the size of the incoming IO request (16K, 32K, 64K, 128K)
- The IOPS limit applies to smaller physical IO sizes
 - General Purpose SSD up to 16K
 - Provisioned IOPS SSD up to 32K
- The Throughput limit applies to larger IO sizes
 - You can get more IOPS than provisioned or the baseline is
 - Unclear how the throughput limit is determined for gp2 when small EBS volumes are used (benchmark more?)
- Bursting applies to IOPS (3000), and throughput (unclear)

IO BENCHMARKING EC2 FLEXIBILITY

Cost? ~ **\$0.50** = 5*1G gp2 ~ **\$33.00** = 5G 500IOPS io1 (125 MB/s)

- ASM and 5* 1G (100 IOPS) General Purpose SSD
 - The volume limits apply separately
 - 500 IOPS baseline
 - Up to 800MB/s Throughput (but limited by instance)
- Bursting? Yes!
 - 15000 Max IOPS burst performance!
 - Lasts for ~2000 seconds, or more
 - "Refills" when IO consumption is below baseline

IO BENCHMARKING EC2 5*GP2 - 8K READS

Volume limits apply to each EBS volume separately

- Bursting performance: 6024 IO/s •

 - 48214 KB/s

[root@ip-17	2-31-21-241 ~]# iostat 5 5	00			
Device:	tps	kB_read/s	kB_wrtn/s	kB_read	kB_wrtn	
xvda	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	
xvdf	3.40	0.00	16.80	0	84	
xvdg	1203.40	9632.00	1.60	48160	8	
xvdi	1199.60	9596.80	0.00	47984	0	
xvdj	1211.60	9691.20	3.20	48456	16	
xvdk	1208.60	9670.40	0.00	48352	0	
xvdl	1203.00	9625.60	3.20	48128	16	

IO BENCHMARKING EC2 5*GP2 - 1M READS

- Bursting performance: 245111 KB/s (Instance limit) It was impossible to reach this performance with just 1 volume
 - 1925 IO/s

[root@ip-172	-31-21-241 ~]# iostat 5 5	00			
Device:	tps	kB_read/s	kB_wrtn/s	kB_read	kB_wrtn	
xvda	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	
xvdf	3.40	0.00	16.80	0	84	
xvdg	384.40	48820.80	0.80	244104	4	
xvdi	385.80	49155.20	0.00	245776	0	
xvdj	385.00	49014.40	6.40	245072	32	
xvdk	386.80	49225.60	0.00	246128	0	
xvdl	385.00	48897.60	6.40	244488	32	

IO BENCHMARKING CHEATING THE SYSTEM

- The Best IO config for Oracle DB on AWS?
 - EC2
 - Multiple General Purpose SSD volumes
 - ASM
 - BLOCK_SIZE = 32K
- No "artificial" IOPS limit
- Only instance/volume throughput limits apply.

What if IO performance is still insufficient?

Or you simply want to reduce the DB's IOPS requirement to pay less

NSTA What	NCE S IT IS? Instance	STO е Тур	RE Des Ma	atrix		Nonpo IOPS a	ersiste Local are no	ent st SSD ot acc	orage counte	e. ed	Optin	ook for S nized in	Storage stances f	or
	Instance Type	vCPU	Memory (GiB)	Storage (GB)	Networking Performance	ssor	Clock Speed (GHz)	Intel AVX [†]	Intel AVX2 [†]	Int Turbo	8	NVMe working [†]	SSD	
	r4.8xlarge	32	244	-	10 Gir	Intel Xeon E5-2686 v4	2.3	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes		
	r4.16xlarge	64	488	-	0 Gigabit	Intel Xeon E5-2686	2.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
	r3.large	2	15.25	1 x 32 SSD	Moderate	Intel Xeon E5-2670 v2	2.5	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes		
	r3.xlarge	4	30.5	1 x 80 SSD	Moderate	Intel Xeon E5-2670 v2	2.5	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes		
	r3.2xlarge	8	61	1 x 160	High	Intel Xeon E5-2670	2.5	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	ື 2018 Pythian	54

INSTANCE STORE

ORACLE SMART FLASH CACHE - REQUIRES EC2 WITH ORACLE LINUX

- Environment
 - R3.4xlarge
 - 16 vCPU, 122G RAM, 320G SSD Instance Store
 - 12.1.0.2
 - Sga_target = 70G
 - Oracle Linux 6.x
 - 1.5T database
 - ASM: 5 * 500G gp2 (7500 baseline IOPS)
- Enabling Oracle Smart Flash Cache
 - db_flash_cache_file = '/dev/xvdb2'
 - db_flash_cache_size = '300G'

It's only 59MB/s with 8K IOs!

ORACLE SMART FLASH CACHE DOES IT HELP?

Top 10 Foreground Events by Total Wait Time

Event	Waits	Total Wait Time (sec)	Wait Avg(ms)	% DB time Wait Class
DB CPU		80.2K		56.9
db flash cache single block physical read	1.1E+08	29K	0.25	20.6 User I/O
db flash cache multiblock physical read	31,429,386	19.1K	0.61	13.6 User I/O
direct path read	7,659,211	9556.2	1.25	6.8 User I/O
db file sequential read	5,500,648	4241	0.77	3.0 User I/O
read by other session	7,002,787	1842.5	0.26	1.3 User I/O
TCP Socket (KGAS)	31,684	1727.7	54.53	1.2 Network
log file sync	1,054,332	1094.8	1.04	.8 Commit
enq: TX - row lock contention	502	991.7	1975.59	.7 Application
cursor: pin S wait on X	1,360	641.6	471.77	.5 Concurrency

- 95% of potential IO is provided from OSFC!
- Performed ~3 times faster compared the EBS IO

Not accounted and not limited!

STORAGE OPTIMIZED INSTANCES...

... WITH ASM PREFERRED READ FAILURE GROUPS

- ASM
 - Normal redundancy
 - 2 failover groups
 - EBS volumes
 - NVMe SSD devices
- Reads are served by NVMe
- Writes are simultanéous
- NVMe is ephemeral
 - Data may disappear

Model	vCPU	Mem (GiB)	Storage (TB)
i3.large	2	15.25	1 x 0.475 NVMe SSD
i3.xlarge	4	30.5	1 x 0.95 NVMe SSD
i3.2xlarge	8	61	1 x 1.9 NVMe SSD
i3.4xlarge	16	122	2 x 1.9 NVMe SSD
i3.8xlarge	32	244	4 x 1.9 NVMe SSD
i3.16xlarge	64	488	8 x 1.9 NVMe SSD



CONCLUSIONS

SUMMARY

- You can definitely run Oracle on AWS!
- RDS is simpler to use and requires less attention
 - Might be preferred for setting up unattended development DBs
 - It's useful for Production too
 - Learning curve
 - Understand the trade-offs
 - Testing is still relevant! (for non-managed maintenance tasks)
- EC2 will perform better than RDS if done right
 - You're in charge of everything
 - It's good and bad at the same time
 - Think about "smart IO architecture"

THANK YOU

@MarisDBA elsins@pythian.com